



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,074	12/05/2001	James W. Coiner	47500/VGG/C614	6959
23363	7590	12/03/2003		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			EXAMINER	
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD			LOCKER, HOWARD J	
SUITE 500				
PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1125

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

Responsive to communication(s) filed on September 09, 2003.

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

The claim is is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

The claim remains is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

1. The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

"(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered."

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

"The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor in carrying out his invention."

In plant applications filed under 36 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

"No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

"The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which applicant regards as his invention."

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

“The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.”

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se, and which distinguish same over related or similar known varieties and antecedents.

A. The Genus/species designation of the instant cultivar must be set forth in the specification (assumedly such is *Rosa hybrida*). Applicant’s addition of the family name Rosaceae is per se acceptable for what it shows, but needs to be properly depicted as such rather than improperly as a Genus as is currently the case in the specification (see 1B of the previous Office action).

B. Relative to number of leaflets characteristically present in the compound leaf, the specification should be amended to more accurately account for the expression of the plant, particularly as a 3 leaflet leaf is illustrated (see 1E of the previous Office action).

C. It is not clear what is intended or encompassed by “dorsal shape” as recited relative to shape of the prickles/thorns, as “dorsal” does not appear to be properly characteristic or descriptive of shape per se. Correction and/or clarification is necessary. Additionally, the cultivar as illustrated appears to be properly characterized as having both small and large prickles. Such should be properly accounted for in the specification relative to quantity, size location (if localized), and coloration (see 1V of the previous Office action).

D. The color designation set forth for new wood should be reviewed for accuracy (see 1K of the previous Office action) and amended so as to reasonably correspond to the true and characteristic coloration thereof.

E. The newly presented information relative to sepals is noted, but needs to be expanded so as to account for both upper and lower surface coloration, as well as clarifying what is intended or encompassed by “usually about 3 extensions” as recited. Also, sepal length should be reviewed for accuracy inasmuch as conflicting information is now present therin at lines 9-10 and lines 13-14 of page 5 of the substitute specification. That sepal width is properly characterized as being “about 1/8th inch” is also questioned (perhaps applicant intended to state that such taper to about 1/8th inch in width?). Correction and/or clarification is necessary (see 1L of the previous Office action).

F. That the peduncle is properly characterized as being “smooth” does not reasonably correspond to the plant as illustrated. Correction is necessary (sec 1M of the previous Office action).

G. General tonality of the bloom is now characterized as “57B”, and bloom coloration is generically described as being “dark orange-red”. Such are in obvious conflict with each other, with “dark orange-red” reasonably corresponding to the plant as illustrated. Additionally, neither of same reasonably correspond to the bloom color designations set forth in the specification. Correction and/or clarification is necessary (see 1Q and 1S of the previous Office action). Such must reasonably correspond to each other and must faithfully set forth the true and characteristic bloom coloration of the plant.

While such does not appear to be the case from the record at present, should the source of the noted discrepancy be the submitted photographic illustration, it would be necessary for applicant to file a substitute therefor (see 37 CFR 1.165(a) and 1.165(b)). Two copies of such would need to be furnished.

If the bloom color designations set forth in the specification are determined to be correct, it would be necessary for applicant also to amend the generic bloom color description in the written specification and abstract as such would then not reasonably be characterized as “dark orange-red” orange, but rather as medium red (or similar).

H. Petal dimensions now set forth in the specification need to be reviewed for accuracy as such do not appear to reasonably correspond add up to a figure resembling the stated bloom diameter. See also 1R of the previous Office action, as petal margin should be meaningfully accounted for in the specification.

I. The section of the specification dealing with reproductive organs should be reorganized so that such reads correctly. For example, see the heading which appears at lines 31-32 of page 6, and the description thereof which appears also at lines 31-32 of page 6. The latter obviously should be moved to begin at line 32 rather than line 31.

J. In the previous Office action (see 1W), the examiner asked for additional information relative to the cultivar's observed and characteristic disease resistance, such being particularly germane as the cultivar looks to be a garden or landscape hybrid tea cultivar and as applicant's specification specifically recites botanical characteristics of the plant as grown outdoors in Wasco, California. Responsive thereto, applicant has provided no substitute or additional information re same, or any argument relative thereto. Substantive information relative to observed and characteristic disease resistance of the cultivar at the specified location of culture such should be imported into the specification in the interest of providing as complete a botanical description of the plant as is reasonably possible.

2. The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant, for the reasons advanced in paragraph 1 above.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Applicant is advised of the new mandatory procedures for amending the specification and claim under 37 CFR 1.121. Applicant may review same on the internet at the following site:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognitice/revamdtprac.htm>

5. Effective May 1, 2003, the USPTO has a new Commissioner for Patents address. Correspondence in patent related matters to organizations reporting to the Commissioner for Patents must now be addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For further information regarding the new address, see *Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office*, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Howard J. Locker whose telephone number is 703-308-2924, and whose normal work hours are Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bruce Campell, can be reached at 703-308-4205.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

TELECOPY/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Papers related to this application may be submitted to TC 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to this TC via the PTO Fax Center in Crystal Mall 1 (CM 1). The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The official fax number for TC 1600 is 703-872-9306.

Howard J. Locker/hjl

November 25, 2003


HOWARD J. LOCKER
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 1661